

---

FE DE ERRATAS

---

En: Bilgili A, A Koç. 2022. Forage yield and nutritive value of forest gap rangelands under differing Scotch pine canopy coverage. *BOSQUE* 43(3): 277-285. DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.4067/S0717-92002022000300277>

En la sección “REFERENCES” dice:

Norton BW, JR Wilson, HM Shelton, KD Hill. 1991. The effect of shade on forage quality. In Shelton HM, Stlr WW eds. Forages for plantation crops. Proceedings on workshop. Sanur Beach, Bali, Indonesia. 27-29 June 1990. ACIAR Proceedings. p. 83-88. Consulted 1 may. 2021. Available in <https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/123716/files/Patton.Pdf>

Redfearn D, H Zhang, J Caddel. 2006. Forage quality interpretations. Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service PSS-2117. Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Oklahoma State University, USA. Consulted 15 Jul. 2022. Available in <https://extension.okstate.edu/fact-sheets/print-publications/pss/forage-quality-interpretations-pss-2117.pdf>

Debe decir:

Norton BW, JR Wilson, HM Shelton, KD Hill. 1991. The effect of shade on forage quality. In Shelton HM, Stlr WW eds. Forages for plantation crops. Proceedings on workshop. Sanur Beach, Bali, Indonesia. 27-29 June 1990. ACIAR Proceedings. p. 83-88. Accessed 15 Nov. 2022. Available in <https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/134644/files/PR032.pdf#page=89>

Redfearn D, H Zhang, J Caddel. 2006. Forage quality interpretations. Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service PSS-2117. Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Oklahoma State University, USA.

